Inorganic Chemistry

Performance Improvement of Lithium Manganese Phosphate by Controllable Morphology Tailoring with Acid-Engaged Nano Engineering

Hui Guo,[†] Chunyang Wu,^{†,‡} Longhuan Liao,[†] Jian Xie,^{*,†,‡} Shichao Zhang,[§] Peiyi Zhu,^{||} Gaoshao Cao,^{*,‡} and Xinbing Zhao^{†,‡}

[†]State Key Laboratory of Silicon Materials, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

[‡]Key Laboratory of Advanced Materials and Applications for Batteries of Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou 310027, China [§]School of Materials Science and Engineering, Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Beijing 100191, China ^{II}Industrial Technology Research Institute of Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Olivine-type lithium manganese phosphate (LiMnPO₄) has been considered as a promising cathode for next-generation Li-ion batteries. Preparation of highperformance LiMnPO₄ still remains a great challenge because of its intrinsically low Liion/electronic conductivity. In this work, significant performance enhancement of LiMnPO₄ has been realized by a controllable acid-engaged morphology tailoring from large spindles into small plates. We find that acidity plays a critical role in altering the morphology of the LiMnPO₄ crystals. We also find that size decrease and plate-like morphology are beneficial for the performance improvement of LiMnPO₄. Among the plate-like samples, the one with the smallest size shows the best electrochemical performance. After carbon coating, it can deliver high discharge capacities of 104.0 mAh g⁻¹



at 10 C and 85.0 mAh g^{-1} at 20 C. After 200 cycles at 1 C, it can still maintain a high discharge capacity of 106.4 mAh g^{-1} , showing attractive applications in high-power and high-energy Li-ion batteries.

INTRODUCTION

The great energy demand for portable electronic devices has stimulated the development of advanced electrical energy storage systems. Recently, the emerging global trend to replace or reduce the use of the fossil fuels by clean energy, such as solar, wind, and hydroelectric energy has also promoted the requirement for a new generation of electrical energy storage system.^{1,2} For now and the foreseeable future, Li-ion batteries remain the most promising candidates for their high theoretical energy density (both gravimetric and volumetric), portability, and durability.^{3,4} It is well-known, however, that the energy density of current Li-ion batteries is limited mainly by the inherent low energy density of the available cathode materials.¹ Therefore, searching for a cathode material with high capacity and voltage has become an urgent issue both scientifically and technologically.

The olivine-type LiMnPO₄ offers a higher energy density than the already commercialized LiFePO₄, due to its higher redox potential of $Mn^{2+}/Mn^{3+}(4.1 \text{ V vs Li/Li}^+)$ compared with Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ (3.45 V vs Li/Li⁺). The excellent thermal stability, environmental benignity, and safety make it a promising cathode material for the future battery systems.^{5–9} However, the intrinsically low electronic conductivity and sluggish Li-ion diffusion rate result in poor electrochemical properties, such as low capacity, poor rate capability, and limited cycle life. Similar to LiFePO₄, the electronic conductivity of LiMnPO₄ can be enhanced by carbon coating. However, a great challenge still remains to develop high-performance LiMnPO₄ since Li ions diffuse through a one-dimensional channel along the *b*-axis in the olivine structure.⁶

Creating nanostructured crystals represents one of the most practical strategies to enhance the electrochemical performance of olivine-structured LiMnPO₄, because nanoscale materials with proper dimensions and architectures have the potential to significantly improve the transport of both electrons and Li ions.¹⁰⁻¹² Especially, decreasing the length along the [010] direction or enlarging the exposed (010) facet could lead to a dramatic improvement in rate performance considering the one-dimensional diffusion path of Li ions along the *b*-axis in the olivine framework.^{13,14} Until now, many researchers have reported the performance enhancement of LiMnPO₄ by preparing nanoscale crystals.^{15–19} Excellent rate capability and cycle performance have been reported in the (010) facet orientated LiMnPO₄ nanoplates.²⁰ In this regard, the electrochemical properties of LiMnPO₄ are largely determined by the morphology of the crystals. Besides, the fundamental research has shown that some intrinsic issues of $LiMPO_4$ (M = Fe, Mn),

Received: October 29, 2014 Published: January 5, 2015



Figure 1. XRD patterns of LiMnPO₄ synthesized with H₃PO₄/MnSO₄ ratios: (a) 1.00-1.10 and (b) 1.10-1.20.

such as solubility limits, equilibrium compositions, and intercalation/deintercalation mechanisms of Li ions, also highly depend on the particle morphology.^{13,21}

Hydrothermal/solvothermal reactions provide a facile approach to prepare LiMnPO₄ nanocrystals with varied morphologies at low temperature in comparison with other methods. The morphology could be easily tuned by either altering the synthesis conditions or selecting different raw materials, solvents and additives.^{7,10,14–16,22–24} In our previous report, we found that a slight change in the ratio of the precursors could exert a great influence on the shape, size, and orientation of LiMnPO₄ crystals.¹⁶ The critical role that H⁺ plays in altering the crystallization habit of LiMnPO₄ was also found.¹⁶ Other work also found that the crystal morphology of LiMPO₄ (M = Fe, Mn) was sensitive to the pH in hydrothermal/solvothermal reactions.^{23,25,26}

Based on the above findings, it deserves further research to reveal the relationship between morphology evolution of LiMnPO₄ and acidity in the precursor by adjusting the amount of acid. This can be easily achieved since acid, for example H₃PO₄, is usually used as the precursor to synthesize LiMnPO₄. In this work, we made a comprehensive investigation on the morphology evolution of LiMnPO₄ with H₃PO₄ concentration and the related effect on the electrochemical performance. We found that the increase in H₃PO₄ proportion first results in the tailoring of large spindles of LiMnPO4 into small plates with remarkably improved electrochemical performance. Further increase in H₃PO₄ proportion leads to the growth of LiMnPO₄ plates in the lateral direction with slightly degraded electrochemical performance. We found that LiMnPO₄ could exhibit excellent electrochemical performance by optimizing its morphology.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Preparation of LiMnPO₄. Nanostructured LiMnPO₄ was synthesized by solvothermal route using ethylene glycol (EG) based

solvent. In a typical synthesis, EG solutions of LiOH and H₃PO₄ were prepared separately by adding LiOH (0.03 mol) in 40 mL of EG and H₂PO₄ (various amounts) in 20 mL of EG. Meanwhile, the 0.01 mol MnSO₄ was first dissolved in 5 mL of deionized (DI) water followed by adding 35 mL of EG with stirring to form MnSO₄ solution. The H₃PO₄ solution was then added to the LiOH solution very slowly (less than 1 mL min⁻¹) with vigorous stirring. A white suspension formed after this mixing process. Afterward, the MnSO₄ solution was introduced slowly to the above white suspension. The final mixture was magnetically stirred for 10 min before it was transferred to a 120 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave. The solvothermal reaction was performed at 180 °C for 10 h. The white precipitate was collected by centrifugation, washed by DI water and absolute ethanol repeatedly, and dried at 60 $^{\circ}$ C for 12 h. The molar ratio of H₃PO₄/MnSO₄ in the precursor was varied from 1.00 to 1.40 and the product was named LMP-x (x is the molar ratio of $H_3PO_4/MnSO_4$). For instance, sample LMP-1.02 means the ratio of H₃PO₄/MnSO₄/LiOH is 1.02:1:3 and the amount of H_3PO_4 in the precursor is 0.0102 mol.

Synthesis of LiMnPO₄/C Composites. For carbon coating, the solvothermal products were mixed with glucose (the mass ratio of LiMnPO₄/glucose is 2:1) in DI water to form dispersions. After drying, the mixture was fired under Ar atmosphere at 600 °C for 4h with a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹. For simplicity, the name of the carbon-coated LiMnPO₄ (LiMnPO₄/C) is same as that of the corresponding solvothermal product.

Materials Characterization. The crystal structures of the solvothermal products were checked by X-ray diffraction (XRD) on a Rigaku D/Max-2550pc powder diffractometer equipped with Cu K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 1.541$ Å). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were observed on an S-4800 field emission scanning electron microscope (Hitachi, Japan). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images were observed on a FEI Tecnai G2 F20 S-Twin high-resolution transmission electron microscope with an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. Carbon content analysis of LiMnPO₄/C was conducted on a Flash EA 1112 tester. This equipment allows the combustion of solid carbon into gaseous CO₂ in a rapid and dynamic mode (flash roasting). The content of carbon then can be determined by analyzing the amount of CO₂ using precise chromatographic analysis technique.



Figure 2. SEM images, TEM images, HRTEM images, and FFT patterns of LiMnPO₄: (a) LMP-1.00, (b) LMP-1.02, (c) LMP-1.04, (d) LMP-1.06, (e) LMP-1.08, and (f) LMP-1.10.

Inorganic Chemistry

Electrochemical Measurements. Electrochemical performance of LiMnPO₄/C was evaluated by galvanostatic cycling using CR2025type coin cells. The electrode slurry was made by mixing 70 wt % LiMnPO₄/C, 20 wt % acetylene black, and 10 wt % polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) in N-methyl pyrrolidone (NMP) with magnetic stirring for 2 h. The slurry was then pasted uniformly on Al foil and dried at 100 °C under vacuum overnight to make the working electrodes. The electrodes were then assembled into half cells in an Arfilled glovebox using Li foil as counter electrode and Celgard 2300 film as separator. The electrolyte was 1 M LiPF₆ dissolved in ethylene carbonate (EC)/diethyl carbonate (DEC) (1:1 in volume). The cells were tested over a voltage range of 2.0-4.5 V (vs Li/Li⁺) using a constant-current-constant-voltage (CC-CV) mode on a Neware BTS-5 V-10 mA battery cycler (Shenzhen, China). The current was set based on the mass of LiMnPO4 and 1C is 170 mA g $^{-1}\!\!.$ The specific capacity was calculated based on the weight of bare LiMnPO4 (excluding coated carbon). All of the electrochemical measurements were carried out at room temperature.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns of the solvothermal products by increasing the ratio of H₃PO₄/MnSO₄ in the precursor from 1.00 to 1.20. All the diffraction peaks of the samples could be indexed to olivine-type LiMnPO₄ with a Pnmb space group (JCPDS card no. 74-0375) and no impurity phase is detected. It is worth noting that the relative intensity of the diffraction peaks varies with increased H₃PO₄ amount in the precursor. For LMP-1.00, the highest reflection is (311) peak, which is in agreement with the standard patterns. The strongest reflection, however, changes to (020) peak when the ratio of $H_3PO_4/$ MnSO₄ increases to 1.08 as indicated by the arrows in Figure 1a. The (311) peak becomes the strongest once again for LMP-1.20 (Figure 1b). Note that, the $I_{(020)}/I_{(200)}$ intensity ratio in all of the samples is larger than 1. According to Kanamura et al.,²⁶ the formation of plate-like LiMnPO4 with a large exposed acplane is expected if $I_{(020)} > I_{(200)}$. In our work, however, a different result is observed as discussed later.

Figure 2 shows the SEM, TEM, and HRTEM images and the fast Fourier transform (FFT) patterns of LiMnPO₄ with H₃PO₄/MnSO₄ molar radio ranging from 1.00 to 1.10. In Figure 2a, the LiMnPO₄ crystal exhibits a spindle-like shape with a length of ~320 nm, a width of ~120 nm, and a mean thickness of \sim 70 nm. The interplanar spacings of \sim 10.6 and ~4.9 Å shown by the HRTEM image in Figure 2a correspond to the (100) and (001) lattice planes, respectively. HRTEM images and FFT patterns reveal that the length, width and thickness directions in the spindle-like crystal correspond to the c-axis, a-axis and b-axis of the LiMnPO₄ lattice, and that the largest exposed facet of the spindle-like crystals is the ac-plane. For the following characterization, the ac-plane is tracked to investigate the effect of the different amounts of H₃PO₄ on the crystallization behavior of LiMnPO₄, as marked by the red square in each figure. As shown in Figure 2b-f, with gradual increase in H₃PO₄/MnSO₄ ratio, the length and width of the spindle-like crystals decrease continuously, finally to 100 and 15 nm for sample LMP-1.10.

On one hand, the *ac*-plane of LiMnPO₄ undergoes a rapid "tailoring" process from arc shape in LMP-1.00 (Figure 2a) to rectangular shape in LMP-1.10 (Figure 2f). The thickness of crystals (*b*-axis direction), on the other hand, shows a gradual increase from 70 nm in LMP-1.00 to 100 nm in LMP-1.10. Clearly, LMP-1.10 exhibits a largest exposure of *bc*-plane, in contrast to the XRD result which predicts the largest exposed facet is the *ac*-plane. From the above results, we can see that the

morphology evolution of LiMnPO₄ is closely related to the $H_3PO_4/MnSO_4$ ratio, and that both size and orientation of the LiMnPO₄ crystals can be altered by simply changing the H_3PO_4 concentration. The morphology evolution of LiMnPO₄ is described schematically in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Schematic illustration of morphology evolution of LiMnPO₄ with increased $H_3PO_4/MnSO_4$ ratio (1.00–1.10) in the precursor.

The plate-like morphology keeps unchanged when increasing the ratio of H₃PO₄/MnSO₄ from 1.10 to 1.20, as shown in Figure 4. However, the edges of the LiMnPO₄ plates become irregular and the average width increases to around 300 nm in LMP-1.20 (Figure 4f). Figure 5 shows the TEM and FFT of LMP-1.20. The interplanar spacings of 3.12 and 2.48 Å in Figure 5b can be identified as the (020) and (002) lattice planes. This indicates that the largest exposed facet of the nanoplates in LMP-1.20 is the bc-plane, keeping the same orientation with LMP-1.10. Based on the above observations, morphology evolution of LiMnPO₄ with H₃PO₄/MnSO₄ ratio at 1.10-1.20 range is illustrated in Figure 6. Further increasing the $H_3PO_4/MnSO_4$ ratio to 1.30 and 1.40 will lead to a continuous growth of plate-like LiMnPO4 in the lateral direction (Figure S1). During the synthesis, we found that a gel-like matter forms when too much H₃PO₄ (in EG) was added to LiOH (in EG) and the gel-like matter cannot dissolve MnSO₄. As a result, we failed to prepare the LiMnPO₄ when the ratio of $H_3PO_4/MnSO_4$ exceeds 1.40.

From the above analysis, the morphology evolution of LiMnPO₄ experiences a two-step process with increasing the H₃PO₄/MnSO₄ ratio. In the first step, LiMnPO₄ crystallizes from spindle-like shape to plate-like one as the H₃PO₄/MnSO₄ ratio increases from 1.00 to 1.10. In this step, the width (parallel to the *a*-axis) and length (parallel to the *c*-axis) of the crystals decrease gradually while the thickness (parallel to *b*-axis) increases slightly, with both the size and orientation changed. In the second step, the lateral size of the plate-like LiMnPO₄ is on the increase with increased H₃PO₄/MnSO₄ ratio (1.10–1.20) while the orientation of the crystals is kept unchanged. It is widely accepted that the formation of LiMnPO₄ can be expressed as

$$H_{3}PO_{4} + 3LiOH + MnSO_{4}$$

= LiMnPO_{4} + Li_{2}SO_{4} + 3H_{2}O (1)

when the LiOH/H₃PO₄/MnSO₄ ratio is 3:1:1. As we previously stated, the addition of excess H₃PO₄ in the precursor actually provides more H⁺ in the solvent. The notable effect of pH on the crystal morphology and orientation was also reported in other work.²⁶

To further understand the role that acidity plays in altering the crystallization habit of LiMnPO₄, two additional experiments were conducted where H_2SO_4 (1 mol L⁻¹ aqueous solution) was added to replace the excess H_3PO_4 . In one experiment, the ratio of $H_2SO_4/H_3PO_4/MnSO_4/LiOH$ is 0.075:1:1:3, where the H⁺ concentration is equal to that in LMP-1.05. The product is named LMP-S1. In the other



Figure 4. SEM images of LiMnPO4: (a) LMP-1.10, (b) LMP-1.12, (c) LMP-1.14, (d) LMP-1.16, (e) LMP-1.18, and (f) LMP-1.20.



Figure 5. (a) TEM image, (b) HRTEM image, and (c) FFT patterns of LMP-1.20.



Figure 6. Schematic illustration of morphology evolution of LiMnPO₄ with increased $H_3PO_4/MnSO_4$ ratio (1.10–1.20) in the precursor.

experiment, the ratio of $H_2SO_4/H_3PO_4/MnSO_4/LiOH$ is 0.225:1:1:3, where the H⁺ concentration is equal to that in LMP-1.15. The product is named LMP-S2. Figure 7 gives the SEM images of LMP-S1 and LMP-S2. LMP-S1 exhibits a quasi spindle-like shape with a width of ~80 nm, a length of ~260 nm, and an average thickness of ~90 nm. The morphology of LMP-S1 is very familiar to those of LMP-1.04 and LMP-1.06. LMP-S2 exhibits a plate-like shape with a width of ~150 nm, and as expected, it exhibits a similar morphology with LMP-

1.14 and LMP-1.16. The results confirm that the acidity indeed plays a critical role in determining the morphology of $LiMnPO_4$ in the solvothermal reaction.

The relationship between morphology and electrochemical properties of LiMnPO₄ is investigated by performing cycling tests on several typical samples including LMP-1.00, LMP-1.04, LMP-1.08, LMP-1.10, LMP-1.12, LMP-1.16, and LMP-1.20. Before the electrochemical tests, carbon coating was conducted to increase the electronic conductivity. Note that these samples show a similar carbon content (Table S1) and that carbon coating does not change the morphology of the solvothermal products obviously (Figure S2). Figure 8 shows the first discharge curves of the selected samples at 0.05 C. All the samples exhibit a discharge plateau at around 4.15 V (vs Li/Li⁺), related to the redox couple of Mn^{3+}/Mn^{2+} of LiMnPO₄ (Figure 8a). The correlation between the discharge capacity and the $H_3PO_4/MnSO_4$ ratio is plotted in Figure 8b. This correlation actually reflects the relationship between the

Figure 7. SEM images of (a) LMP-S1 and (b) LMP-S2.



Figure 8. (a) Discharge profiles of the selected samples at 0.05 C and (b) discharge capacity at 0.05 C as a function of H₃PO₄/MnSO₄ ratio.



Figure 9. Comparison of rate capability of (a) LMP-1.00, LMP-1.04, LMP-1.08, and LMP-1.10 and (b) LMP-1.10, LMP-1.12, LMP-1.16, and LMP-1.20.

electrochemical performance and the crystal morphology of LiMnPO₄. Among these samples, LMP-1.00 exhibits the lowest capacity of only 121.5 mAh g⁻¹. The discharge capacity gets an obvious increase as the $H_3PO_4/MnSO_4$ ratio increases from 1.00 to 1.10. LMP-1.04, LMP-1.08, and LMP-1.10 deliver discharge capacities of 146.7, 162.1, and 162.4 mAh g⁻¹, respectively, reaching 86.3%, 95.3%, and 95.5% of the theoretical capacity of LiMnPO₄.

Even though the largest exposed facet in LMP-1.00 is the desired *ac*-plane, it shows the lowest discharge capacity. This can be attributed to its large dimension of width (parallel to *a*-axis) and length (parallel to *c*-axis) in the spindle-like shape, which restricts the charge transfer within the spindle and renders sluggish Li-ion diffusion kinetics. As the ratio of $H_3PO_4/MnSO_4$ increases, the size of LiMnPO₄ crystals decreases rapidly along *a*-axis and *c*-axis direction, enhancing the electrochemical kinetics and thus increasing the capacity. The increase of $H_3PO_4/MnSO_4$ ratio from 1.10 to 1.20 results in obvious lateral growth of the LiMnPO₄ plates, leading to

increased Li-ion diffusion length along *b*-axis and thus reduced discharge capacity. Consequently, compared with LMP-1.10, LMP-1.12, LMP-1.16, and LMP-1.20 deliver lower discharge capacities of 159.6, 149.5, and 145.5 mAh g^{-1} , respectively.

Figure 9 compares rate performance of the selected samples. For the rate capability tests, the cells were charged at 0.1 C to 4.5 V, kept at 4.5 V for 1 h, and then discharged at various rates, from C/10 to 10 C. As expected, the LMP-1.00 shows the lowest discharge capacity at each applied rate. The discharge capacities at various rates increase rapidly when the ratio of $H_3PO_4/MnSO_4$ increases from 1.00 to 1.10 (Figure 9a), which can be attributed to the decreased crystal size. At 1 C, LMP-1.00, LMP-1.04, LMP-1.08, and LMP-1.10 deliver discharge capacities of 86.5, 102.1, 119.2, and 135.3 mAh g⁻¹, respectively. Clearly, the discharge capacities show a slight decrease at each rate as the ratio of $H_3PO_4/MnSO_4$ increases from 1.10 to 1.20 (Figure 9b). At 10 C, LMP-1.10, LMP-1.12,

Article

LMP-1.16, and LMP-1.20 can still deliver high discharge capacities of 104.0, 98.7, 99.6, and 94.1 mAh g⁻¹, respectively, indicating the excellent rate capability. Among four plate-like samples, LMP-1.10 exhibits the highest discharge capacity at each rate due to its smallest lateral size. At 20 C, LMP-1.10 can yield a high discharge capacity of 85.0 mAh g⁻¹ and even at 50 C, a discharge capacity of 56.7 mAh g⁻¹ is still obtainable (Figure S3). It seems that the thin plate-like morphology is beneficial for enhanced electrochemical kinetics. The electrochemical performance of our samples is superior to those of previously reported ones prepared also by the hydrothermal/solvothermal method.^{15,17,18,23,27–31} Figure 10 gives the comparison of the rate performance of LMP-1.10 with that of other LiMnPO₄ samples that represent the best ones reported so far.



Figure 10. Comparison of rate capability of our LMP-1.10 sample with those of previously reported ones.

Figure 11 compares the cycling stability of the selected $LiMnPO_4$ samples. The cells were charged to 4.5 V at 1 C, kept at 4.5 V for 1 h, and discharged to 2.0 V at 1 C. After 200 cycles, the capacity retention is 55.9%, 74.6%, and 73.7%, respectively, for LMP-1.00, LMP-1.04, and LMP-1.08 (Figure 11a). The plate-like LMP-1.10, LMP-1.12, LMP-1.16, and LMP-1.20 exhibit a higher retention of 79.2%, 80.3%, 79.6%, and 77.6% (Figure 11b). As reported previously, the LiMnPO₄-MnPO₄ interface strain can cause a larger volume change (8.9%) than LiFePO₄-FePO₄ (7%), which accounts for relatively rapider capacity fade of LiMnPO₄. Our results indicate that decreasing the particle size and using the plate-like morphology may relieve the volume changes effectively, leading to excellent cycling stability of LiMnPO₄. Note that

besides high capacity and excellent rate capability, LMP-1.10 also demonstrates superior cycling stability, maintaining a high discharge capacity of 106.4 mAh g^{-1} after 200 cycles at 1 C. The excellent electrochemical performance is ascribed to its smallest size and plate-like morphology.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, controllable morphology tailoring of LiMnPO₄ nanocrystals has been realized in EG-based solvothermal reaction by simply adjusting the ratio of H₃PO₄/MnSO₄ from 1.00 to 1.40. The morphology evolves from spindle-like shape to plate-like one as the ratio of $H_3PO_4/MnSO_4$ increases from 1.00 to 1.10. In this process, besides the size reduction, the orientation of the crystals also experiences a gradual evolution, converting the largest exposed facet from the ac-plane in spindle-like LiMnPO4 to the bc-plane in plate-like one. Further increasing the H₃PO₄/MnSO₄ ratio (1.10–1.40) only leads to the size increase in the *bc*-plane without orientation change. We confirm that the change in crystallization habit of LiMnPO4 is sensitive to the acidity. The electrochemical performance of $LiMnPO_4/C$ exhibits a close dependence on the morphology of the LiMnPO₄ crystals. The discharge capacity of LiMnPO₄/C increases as the morphology of LiMnPO₄ changes from lager spindles to small plates and then decreases as the plate-like crystals grow larger in lateral direction. The changes in rate capability and cycling performance exhibit a similar trend with that of the discharge capacity. Among all the samples, LMP-1.10 exhibits the highest discharge capacity, best rate capability, and also excellent cycling stability due to its plate-like shape and the smallest size.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

SEM images of LMP-1.30 and LMP-1.40, voltage profiles of LMP-1.10 at various rates, and carbon content analysis of LiMnPO₄/C. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*Tel/Fax: +86-571-87951451. E-mail: xiejian1977@zju.edu.cn. *E-mail: gscao@zju.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.



Figure 11. Comparison of cycling performance of (a) LMP-1.00, LMP-1.04, LMP-1.08, and LMP-1.10 and (b) LMP-1.10, LMP-1.12, LMP-1.16, and LMP-1.20 at 1 C.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by National Basic Research Program of China (2013CB934001), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51101139), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2014XZZX002-03), Key Science and Technology Innovation Team of Zhejiang Province under Grant Number 2010R50013, and Program for Innovative Research Team in University of Ministry of Education of China (IRT13037).

REFERENCES

(1) Xu, B.; Qian, D. N.; Wang, Z. Y.; Meng, Y. S. Mater. Sci. Eng. R. 2012, 73, 51–65.

(2) Goodenough, J. B.; Kim, Y. Chem. Mater. 2009, 22, 587-603.

(3) Wang, J. J.; Li, Y. L.; Sun, X. L. Nano Energy 2013, 2, 443–467.
(4) Thackeray, M. M.; Wolverton, C.; Isaacs, E. D. Energy Environ.

Sci. 2012, 5, 7854-7863.

(5) Yu, F.; Zhang, L. L.; Zhu, M. Y.; An, Y. X.; Xia, L. L.; Wang, X.
 G.; Dai, B. Nano Energy 2014, 3, 64–79.

(6) Aravindan, V.; Gnanaraj, J.; Lee, Y. S.; Madhavi, S. J. Mater. Chem. A 2013, 1, 3518-3539.

(7) Devaraju, M. K.; Honma, I. Adv. Energy Mater. 2012, 2, 284–297.

(8) Choi, D.; Xiao, J.; Choi, Y. J.; Hardy, J. S.; Vijayakumar, M.; Bhuvaneswari, M. S.; Liu, J.; Xu, W.; Wang, W.; Yang, Z. G.; Graff, G.

L.; Zhang, J. G. Energy Environ. Sci. 2011, 4, 4560–4566. (9) Padhi, A. K.; Nanjundaswamy, K.; Goodenough, J. B. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1997, 144, 1188–1194.

(10) Nan, C. Y.; Lu, J.; Li, L. H.; Li, L. L.; Peng, Q.; Li, Y. D. Nano Res. 2013, 6, 469–477.

(11) Mukherjee, R.; Krishnan, R.; Lu, T. M.; Koratkar, N. Nano Energy **2012**, *1*, 518–533.

(12) Song, M. K.; Park, S.; Alamgir, F. M.; Cho, J.; Liu, M. L. Mater. Sci. Eng. R 2011, 72, 203–252.

(13) Lu, Z. G.; Chen, H. L.; Robert, R.; Zhu, B. Y. X.; Deng, J. Q.; Wu, L. J.; Chung, C. Y.; Grey, C. P. *Chem. Mater.* **2011**, *23*, 2848– 2859.

(14) Saravanan, K.; Balaya, P.; Reddy, M. V.; Chowdari, B. V. R.; Vittal, J. J. Energy Environ. Sci. 2010, 3, 457-463.

(15) Zhou, F. R.; Zhu, P. L.; Fu, X. Z.; Chen, R. Q.; Sun, R.; Wong, C. P. *CrystEngComm* **2014**, *16*, 766–774.

(16) Guo, H.; Wu, C. Y.; Xie, J.; Zhang, S. C.; Cao, G. S.; Zhao, X. B. J. Mater. Chem. A **2014**, *2*, 10581–10588.

(17) Dinh, H. C.; Mho, S. I.; Kang, Y. K.; Yeo, I. H. J. Power Sources **2013**, 244, 189–195.

(18) Wang, D. Y.; Buqa, H.; Crouzet, M.; Deghenghi, G.; Drezen, T.; Exnar, I.; Kwon, N. H.; Miners, J. H.; Poletto, L.; Grätzel, M. J. Power Sources 2009, 189, 624–628.

(19) Martha, S. K.; Markovsky, B.; Grinblat, J.; Gofer, Y.; Haik, O.; Zinigrad, E.; Aurbach, D.; Drezen, T.; Wang, D.; Deghenghi, G. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2009, 156, A541–A552.

(20) Rui, X. H.; Zhao, X. X.; Lu, Z. Y.; Tan, H. T.; Sim, D. H.; Hng, H. H.; Yazami, R.; Lim, T. M.; Yan, Q. Y. ACS Nano **2013**, 7, 5637–5646.

(21) Wagemaker, M.; Mulder, F. M.; Van der Ven, A. *Adv. Mater.* 2009, 21, 2703–2709.

(22) Wang, L.; He, X. M.; Sun, W. T.; Wang, J. L.; Li, Y. D.; Fan, S. S. *Nano Lett.* **2012**, *12*, 5632–5636.

(23) Qin, Z. H.; Zhou, X. F.; Xia, Y. G.; Tang, C. L.; Liu, Z. P. J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 21144–21153.

(24) Nan, C. Y.; Lu, J.; Chen, C.; Peng, Q.; Li, Y. D. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 9994–9996.

(25) Qin, X.; Wang, X. H.; Xiang, H. M.; Xie, J.; Li, J. J.; Zhou, Y. C. J. Phys. Chem. C 2010, 114, 16806–16812.

(26) Dokko, K.; Koizumi, S.; Nakano, H.; Kanamura, K. J. Mater. Chem. 2007, 17, 4803–4810.

(27) Pan, X. L.; Xu, C. Y.; Hong, D.; Fang, H. T.; Zhen, L. *Electrochim. Acta* **2013**, *87*, 303–308.

(28) Cao, Y. B.; Duan, J. G.; Hu, G. R.; Jiang, F.; Peng, Z. D.; Du, K.; Guo, H. W. *Electrochim. Acta* **2013**, *98*, 183–189.

(29) Yang, S. L.; Ma, R. G.; Hu, M. J.; Xi, L. J.; Lu, Z. G.; Chung, C. Y. J. Mater. Chem. **2012**, 22, 25402–25408.

(30) Choi, D.; Wang, D. H.; Bae, I. T.; Xiao, J.; Nie, Z. M.; Wang, W.; Viswanathan, V. V.; Lee, Y. J.; Zhang, J. G.; Graff, G. L. *Nano Lett.* **2012**, *10*, 2799–2805.

(31) Delacourt, C.; Poizot, P.; Morcrette, M.; Tarascon, J. M.; Masquelier, C. Chem. Mater. 2004, 16, 93–99.

674